

**Appendix A to the Declaration of E. Evans Wohlforth, Jr., Esq.**

<b>Document Title/ECF No.</b>	<b>Basis for Sealing</b>	<b>Clearly Defined and Serious Injury that Would Result if the Relief is Not Granted</b>	<b>Why a Less Restrictive Alternative to the Relief Sought is Not Available</b>	<b>Any Prior Order Sealing the Same Materials in the Pending Action</b>	<b>Party in Opposition to Sealing, if any, and Basis</b>
Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Letter contains references to SaveOnSP's internal business strategies and services and to SaveOnSP's communications with its clients, comprising proprietary business information. <i>See</i> ECF No. 142 at 3-4, 6.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information and strategy be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	A redacted, public version of the Joint Letter is being filed [ECF No. 147-1]. It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of SaveOnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 18 to Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit contains SaveOnSP's reasoning and strategy for issuing temporary credit cards, as well as SaveOnSP's standard practices for corporate and/or tertiary credit cards, thus comprising proprietary business information. <i>See</i> ECF No. 142 at Ex. 18.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	A redacted, public version of the exhibit is being filed [ECF No. 147-1]. It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of SaveOnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.

Exhibit 4 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit discusses internal research and processes, comprising proprietary business information.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 5 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit discusses SaveOnSP's internal analyses of drugs covered by its services, comprising proprietary business information.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 6 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit discusses SaveOnSP's internal research and training manual, comprising proprietary business information.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 7 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit discusses internal research and processes, comprising proprietary business information.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.

Exhibit 8 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit reveals communications with a SaveOnSP client regarding service offerings, comprising proprietary business information and mirrors the information sought to be redacted in the August 23, 2023 Joint Letter.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 9 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit reveals communications with a SaveOnSP client regarding service offerings, comprising proprietary business information and mirrors the information sought to be redacted in the August 23, 2023 Joint Letter.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 10 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit reflects information regarding SaveOnSP's service offerings, comprising proprietary business information and mirrors the information sought to be redacted in the August 23, 2023 Joint Letter.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 11 of Joint Letter dated	Exhibit discusses SaveOnSP's service offerings and a potential business opportunity and	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.

August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	reveals communications with a client regarding the same, comprising proprietary business information.	non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.		
Exhibit 12 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit discusses a potential business opportunity and mirrors the information sought to be redacted in the August 23, 2023 Joint Letter.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP's employees' communications regarding a potential business opportunity would be revealed, allowing other market participants to review that same information.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 13 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit discusses internal business strategy, comprising proprietary business information and mirrors the information sought to be redacted in the August 23, 2023 Joint Letter.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 14 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit discusses internal business strategy, comprising proprietary business information and mirrors the information sought to be redacted in the August 23, 2023 Joint Letter.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 15 of Joint Letter	Exhibit discusses internal business strategy, comprising proprietary	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its

dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	business information and mirrors the information sought to be redacted in the August 23, 2023 Joint Letter.	should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.		consent or objection.
Exhibit 16 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit discusses service offerings and internal business strategy, comprising proprietary business information and mirrors the information sought to be redacted in the August 23, 2023 Joint Letter.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 17 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit discusses internal business strategy, comprising proprietary business information and mirrors the information sought to be redacted in the August 23, 2023 Joint Letter.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 19 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit discusses confidential agreements, comprising proprietary business information.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.
Exhibit 20 of Joint Letter	Exhibit discusses confidential agreements,	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its

dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	comprising proprietary business information.	should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.		consent or objection.
Exhibit 21 of Joint Letter dated August 11, 2023 [ECF No. 142]	Exhibit discusses confidential agreements, comprising proprietary business information.	If relief is not granted, SaveOnSP would be at a competitive disadvantage should its proprietary non-public business information be disclosed to competitors and other market participants.	It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of Save-OnSP's proprietary business information.	No	Plaintiff has not yet provided its consent or objection.